

Decision Maker: Environment Portfolio Holder

For Pre-Decision Scrutiny by the Environment PDS Committee on

Date: 25 June 2013

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

Title: PROVISIONAL OUTTURN 2012/13

Contact Officer: Claire Martin, Head of Finance
Tel: 020 8313 4286 E-mail: Claire.martin@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community Services

Ward: Borough-wide

1. Reason for report

This report provides the Portfolio Holder with the provisional final outturn position for 2012/13 for the Environment Portfolio. This shows an overspend of £33k for 2012/13.

It also reports the level of expenditure during 2012/13 for the selected projects within the Member Priority Initiatives.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Portfolio Holder is requested to:

2.1 **Endorse the 2012/13 provisional outturn position for the Environment Portfolio.**

2.2 **Note the outturn position in respect of the Environment projects within the Member Priority Initiatives programme.**

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy Sound financial management.
 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council; Quality Environment
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable
 2. Ongoing costs: Recurring Cost
 3. Budget head/performance centre: All Environment Portfolio Budgets and Earmarked Reserve for Member Priority Initiatives
 4. Total current budget for this head: £41.5m and £1.15m
 5. Source of funding: Existing revenue budgets 2012/13 and Earmarked Reserve for Member Priority Initiatives
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 206ftes
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement: The statutory duties relating to financial reporting are covered within the Local Government Act 1972; the Local Government Finance Act 1998; the Accounts and Audit Regulations 1996; the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government Act 2002
 2. Call-in: Applicable
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): The services covered in this report affect all Council Taxpayers, Business Ratepayers, those who owe general income to the Council, all staff, Members and Pensioners.
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 The 2012/13 provisional outturn for the Environment Portfolio shows an overspend of £33k against a controllable budget of £31.655m, representing a 0.1% variation. The detailed variations are shown in Appendix 1 with a summary included in Section 5.
- 3.2 Costs attributable to individual services have been classified as “controllable” and “non-controllable” in Appendix 1. Budget holders have full responsibility for those budgets classified as “controllable” as any variations relate to those factors over which the budget holder has, in general, direct control. “Non-controllable” budgets are those which are managed outside of individual budget holder’s service and, as such, cannot be directly influenced by the budget holder in the shorter term. These include, for example, building maintenance costs and property rents which are managed by the Property Division but are allocated within individual departmental/portfolio budgets to reflect the full cost of the service. As such, any variations arising are shown as “non-controllable” within services but “controllable” within the Resources Portfolio. Other examples include cross departmental recharges and capital financing costs. This approach, which is reflected in financial monitoring reports to budget holders, should ensure clearer accountability by identifying variations within the service that controls financial performance. Members should specifically refer to the “controllable” budget variations relating to portfolios in considering financial performance. These variations will include the costs related to the recession.
- 3.3 Council on 26th March 2012 approved the setting aside of £2.26m in an earmarked reserve for Member priority initiatives. The Environment Portfolio is responsible for the delivery of three of these initiatives as detailed below:-

Member Priority Initiatives	£'000
General Improvements to footways and highways	750
Support to Friends Groups	250
Renew/replace the Council's community recycling sites	150
	<u><u>1,150</u></u>

- 3.4 Appendix 2 has the details of the actual expenditure incurred during 2012/13 for each of the schemes.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Resources Portfolio Plan includes the aim of effective monitoring and control of expenditure within budget and includes the target that each service department will spend within its own budget.
- 4.2 The four year financial forecast report highlights the financial pressures facing the Council. It remains imperative that strict budgetary control continues to be exercised in 2012/13 to minimise the risk of compounding financial pressures in future years.
- 4.3 Chief Officers and Departmental Heads of Finance are continuing to place emphasis on the need for strict compliance with the Council’s budgetary control and monitoring arrangements.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The total variation for the Environment Portfolio at the year end is an overspend of £33k. Some of the major variations are summarised below, with more detail included in Appendix 1.
- 5.2 At the end of the year there was a shortfall in income totalling £570k for on- and off-street parking, partly due to the price increases not taking effect until 30th April and partly due to a

reduction in usage. This deficit was offset by management action to reduce parking running costs (Cr £148k), extra income from parking and bus lane contraventions (Cr £138k) and other underspends across the Portfolio.

- 5.3 Customer drop out for trade waste collections was not as high as previous years despite the recent price increase and a surplus of Cr £180k has been generated. This offset a reduction in income (Dr £124k) from trade waste delivered to the depots due to a decrease in customers. It should be noted that part of the reduction in waste disposal tonnages was directly related to a decrease in customers (Cr £77k). Disposal tonnage was much less than anticipated generating an underspend of Cr £163k and there were other net overspends within waste total Dr £24k.
- 5.4 Rebates and credits have been received totalling Cr £80k for street lighting energy which partly offset the overspend of £236k on winter maintenance.
- 5.5 There is an underspend of £123k for the Parks and Greenspace division budgets. This is made up of £20k on staffing due to vacancies, £38k credits received for utility bills and £65k on grounds maintenance budgets.
- 5.6 Other minor underspends across the department total £12k.
- 5.7 The final payment of a European grant has now been received for the Commerce project. This, together with the release of provisions made for the project totals £97k. This amount has been transferred to an earmarked reserve for future possible redundancy costs relating to TfL funded staff, subject to executive approval. Members should note that at this time there is no indication that LIP funding is likely to be reduced, however, should this amount be set aside in the reserve it would provide a buffer should the costs not be able to be contained within TfL resources.
- 5.8 Appendix 2 shows that £764k has been spent during 2012/13 out of the £1.15m set aside for the three projects within the Member priority initiatives. This leaves a balance of £386k.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Legal, Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	2012/13 budget monitoring files within ES finance section